

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/702,724

Examiner

Tae Yoon

Applicant(s)

Sandor et al

Art Unit

1714

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO person	nel}:
(1) Tae Yoon)
(2) Mr. Pitlick	.)
Date of Interview Sep 20, 2002	
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) X Personal (copy is given to 1) applicant 2) X	applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \square Yes e) X	No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: All	
Identification of prior art discussed: Of the record	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) Substance of Interview including description of the general nature any other comments:	
The examiner sees that only limitation in the claim 1 is a Tg of the polymer, the final product. Thus, the polymer of the prior art having said Tg meets the invention. Dependent claims reciting molecular weight of the polymer with or without a	
chain transfer agent has little probative value to the molecualr weight of the final product. Note that said MW is directed to the final product which is also confusing, and said MW seems to be related to the polymer phase.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	which the examiner agreed would render the claims the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i):: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate rec	ord of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WE INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP sec	tion 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached